PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 539.6006.2	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2004/012421	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 April 2004 (22.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 22 April 2003 (22.04.2003)]
International Patent Classification (IPC 7 A61N 1/18, 1/39	C) or national classification and IPC	
Applicant MEDTRONIC PHYSIO-CONTROL	CORP.	

1.	This international preliminary re International Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ty under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refer to the international preliminary	ence to the written opinion of the International Scarching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
	Box No. I	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Burcau will c not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

	Date of issuance of this report 28 October 2005 (28.10.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Simin Baharlou
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 71 30

see form PCT/ISA/220

1	· 후 교리는 <u>200</u> 4	
WIPO	PC	
PCT		i

To:	•		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/US2004/012421

International filing date (day/month/year)

22.04.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

22.04.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

A61N1/18, A61N1/39

Applicant

MEDTRONIC PHYSIO-CONTROL CORP.

 This opinion contains indications relating to the following its 	ems:
---	------

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

Box No. II Priority

☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of Invention

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, Inventive step or industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Box No. Vi Certain documents cited

☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1*bls*(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx; 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Chopinaud, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7365



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/012421

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1,	With re	gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	lai	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage—, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search index Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With renecess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
	. D	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	eat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h: C:	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opporting the propriets of the subsequent of the application as filed.

4. Additional comments:

Bo	x No. II	Priority			
. 🖾	The fo	llowing document ha	as not beer	1 furnished	:
	⊠	copy of the earlier	application	whose pri	ority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the e	arlier appli	cation who	se priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Conse nevert	quently it has not be heless been establis	een possibl shed on the	le to conside assumpti	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has on that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2. 🗆	has be	pinion has been esta een found Invalid (Ru late Indicated above	ıles 43 <i>bis.</i>	1 and 64.1)	ity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international he relevant date.
3. Ac	dditional	observations, if nece	essary:		
В	ox No. V	Reasoned state	ment und	er Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
B:	ox No. V	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und	er Rule 43 xplanatio	<i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement
Br in	ox No. V dustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment und	er Rule 43 xplanation Claims Claims	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or as supporting such statement
Bo in 1. St	ox No. V dustrial	Reasoned state applicability; citati	ment undo ons and e Yes:	xplanatio	ns supporting such statement

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: WO 01/66182 A (CARDIAC SCIENCE INC) 13 September 2001 (2001-09-13)
 - D2: US 2003/028219 A1 (PICARDO ANTHONY G ET AL) 6 February 2003 (2003-02-06)
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) a modular external defibrillator system, comprising :

- a base containing a defibrillator module (defibrillator module 32, figure 1);
- a **pod** having a patient parameter module with patient lead cables attachable to a patient to collect at least one patient vital sign, the pod operable at a distance from the base (generic patient monitor 12, figure 1); and
- a **communication link** (see claim 1, line 5) between the pod and the base to carry at least one vital sign from the pod to the base, the defibrillator module delivering a defibrillation shock to the patient based on the at least one vital sign (see claim 1, lines 6-8 and description page 8, lines 3-12).
- The same remark can be applied for the independent claim 12 for the same reasons (and see D1, description page 6, line 15)
- Dependent claims 2-11 and 13-26 contain either features known per se from the prior art or being simple constructional features. Thus they would only satisfy Art. 33(2),(3) PCT when referring to patentable independent claims.
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 27 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The **device** described in independent claim 27 differs from that disclosed in document D1 in that the **base comprises a latching assembly to mount the pod in a releasable manner**.

The technical problem to be solved by the invention can thus be stated as that of providing a device easy to use and compact.

The solution proposed in claim 27 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Articles 33(1) PCT) for the following reason:

Document D2 pertains to a modular medical device, base unit and module thereof wherein the base comprises a latching assembly (see paragraph 30).

D2 refers to the same kind of device as D1. The skilled person would therefore consider to include said feature of D2 in the device described in document D1 in order to solve the problem.

- 6. The same remark can be applied to the **Independent claims 42, 52, 57 and 67** for the same reasons.
- 7. **Dependent claims 28-41, 43-51, 53-56, 58-66 and 68-70** contain either features known per se from the prior art or being simple constructional features. Thus they would only satisfy Art. 33(2),(3) PCT when referring to patentable independent claims.
- 8. In order to facilitate the examination of the conformity of the amended application with the requirements of Article 34(2)(b) PCT, the applicant is requested to **clearly identify the amendments carried out**, no matter whether they concern amendments by addition, replacement or deletion, and to indicate the passages of the application as filed on which these amendments are based (see also Rule 66.8(a) PCT).

If the applicant regards it as appropriate these indications could be submitted in handwritten form on a copy of the relevant parts of the application as filed.